

Natural Language Processing

Sequence labeling

Yulia Tsvetkov

yuliats@cs.washington.edu

1



Yulia Tsvetkov



Announcements

• HW 2 review

Part of speech tagging



Why POS tagging

- Goal: resolve ambiguities
- Text-to-speech
 - record, lead, protest
- Lemmatization
 - $\circ \quad \text{saw/V} \rightarrow \text{see, saw/N} \rightarrow \text{saw}$
- Machine translation the meaning of a word depends on its POS tag
 - "what is your address" vs. "we should address this comment"
 - "grand challenge" vs. "challenge the team"
- Sentiment analysis adjectives are the major opinion holders
 - o "good" vs. "bad", "excellent" vs. "terrible"
- Preprocessing for harder disambiguation problems
 - syntactic parsing
 - semantic parsing

Yulia Tsvetkov

Parts of speech

- Open classes
 - o nouns
 - \circ verbs
 - adjectives
 - o adverbs

Closed classes

- prepositions
- determiners
- pronouns
- conjunctions
- auxiliary verbs

5



Parts of speech, more fine-grained classes

• Open classes

o nouns

- proper
- common
 - count
 - mass
- \circ verbs
- adjectives
- \circ adverbs
 - directional
 - degree
 - manner
 - temporal

Actually, I ran home extremely quickly yesterday

Parts of speech, closed classes

prepositions: on, under, over, near, by, at, from, to, with **particles:** up, down, on, off, in, out, at, by **determiners:** a, an, the **conjunctions:** and, but, or, as, if, when **pronouns:** she, who, I, others **auxiliary verbs:** can, may, should, are **numerals:** one, two, three, first, second, third

Part of speech tagsets

• Penn treebank tagset (Marcus et al., 1993)

Tag	Description	Example	Tag	Description	Example	Tag	Description	Example
CC	coordinating conjunction	and, but, or	PDT	predeterminer	all, both	VBP	verb non-3sg present	eat
CD	cardinal number	one, two	POS	possessive ending	's	VBZ	verb 3sg pres	eats
DT	determiner	a, the	PRP	personal pronoun	I, you, he	WDT	wh-determ.	which, that
EX	existential 'there'	there	PRP\$	possess. pronoun	your, one's	WP	wh-pronoun	what, who
FW	foreign word	mea culpa	RB	adverb	quickly	WP\$	wh-possess.	whose
IN	preposition/ subordin-conj	of, in, by	RBR	comparative adverb	faster	WRB	wh-adverb	how, where
JJ	adjective	yellow	RBS	superlaty, adverb	fastest	\$	dollar sign	\$
JJR	comparative adj	bigger	RP	particle	up, off	#	pound sign	#
JJS	superlative adj	wildest	SYM	symbol	+,%, &	**	left quote	" or "
LS	list item marker	1, 2, One	TO	"to"	to	"	right quote	' or "
MD	modal	can, should	UH	interjection	ah, oops	(left paren	[, (, {, <
NN	sing or mass noun	llama	VB	verb base form	eat)	right paren],), }, >
NNS	noun, plural	llamas	VBD	verb past tense	ate		comma	
NNP	proper noun, sing.	IBM	VBG	verb gerund	eating		sent-end punc	.1?
NNPS	proper noun, plu.	Carolinas	VBN	verb past part.	eaten	:	sent-mid punc	:;



Example of POS tagging

• There are 7 children there.

There/PRON are/AUX 70/NUM children/NOUN there/ADV ./PUNC

• Preliminary findings were reported in today's NY TIMES.

Preliminary/ADJ findings/NOUN were/AUX reported/VERB in/ADP today/NOUN 's/PART NY/PROPN TIMES/PROPN ./PUNC

The Universal Dependencies

Universal Dependencies

Universal Dependencies (UD) is a framework for consistent annotation of grammar (parts of speech, morphological features, and syntactic dependencies) across different human languages. UD is an open community effort with over 300 contributors producing more than 150 treebanks in 90 languages. If you're new to UD, you should start by reading the first part of the Short Introduction and then browsing the annotation guidelines.

- Short introduction to UD
- <u>UD annotation guidelines</u>
- More information on UD:
 - How to contribute to UD
 - Tools for working with UD
 - Discussion on UD
 - <u>UD-related events</u>
- Query UD treebanks online:
 - SETS treebank search maintained by the University of Turku
 - PML Tree Query maintained by the Charles University in Prague
 - Kontext maintained by the Charles University in Prague
 - Grew-match maintained by Inria in Nancy
 - INESS maintained by the University of Bergen
- Download UD treebanks

Open class words	Closed class words	Other
ADJ	ADP	PUNCT
ADV	AUX	SYM
INTJ	CCONJ	X
NOUN	DET	
PROPN	NUM	
VERB	PART	
	PRON	
	SCONJ	

The Universal Dependencies

	tag	tag name	description	examples
S	ADJ	Adjective	noun modifiers descrfibing properties	red, young, awesome
las	ADV	Adverb	verb modifiers of time, place, manner	very, slowly, home (as in "go home"), yesterday
C	NOUN	Noun	words for persons, places, things, etc.	algorithm, cat, mango, beauty
bel	VERB	Verb	words for actions and processes	draw, provide, run
0	PROPN	Proper noun	name of a person, organization, location, etc.	Regina, IBM, Vietnam
	INTJ	Interjection	exclamation, greeting, yes/no response, etc.	oh, um, yes, hello
S	ADP	Adposition	(preposition/postposition): marks a noun's spatial, temporal, or other relation	in, on, by, under
as	AUX	Auxiliary	helping a verb making tense, aspect, mood, etc.	can, may, should, are
U	CCONJ	Coordinating conjunction	joins two phrases or clauses	and, or, but
sec	DET	Determiner	marks noun phrase properties	a, an, the, this
0	NUM	Numeral		one, two, first, second
0	PART	Particle	a preposition-like form used together with a verb	up, down, on, off, in, out, at, by
	PRON	Pronoun	a shorthand for referring to an entity or event	you, she, who, I others
	SCONJ	Suborinating conjunction	joins a main clause with a subordinate clause such as sentential complement	that, which
	PUNCT	Punctuation		;!?0
the	SYM	Symbol	various symbols	\$%
0	х	Other		all the rest

Universal Dependencies tagset (Nivre et al., 2016) (17 tags)

Yulia Tsvetkov



Ambiguities in POS tags

Types:		WSJ	Brown
Unambiguous	(1 tag)	44,432 (869	%) 45,799 (85 %)
Ambiguous	(2+ tags)	7,025 (149	%) 8,050 (15%)

Ambiguities in POS tags

Types:		WS	SJ	Bro	wn
Unambiguous	(1 tag)	44,432	(86%)	45,799	(85%)
Ambiguous	(2 + tags)	7,025	(14%)	8,050	(15%)
Tokens:					
Unambiguous	(1 tag)	577,421	(45%)	384,349	(33%)
Ambiguous	(2+ tags)	711,780	(55%)	786,646	(67%)

POS-tagging is a disambiguation task

- look at this small building in the back/NN
- the main player in the team took a back/JJ seat
- a majority of senators will back/VERB the decision
- we should enable the country to buy back/PART its debt
- I was twenty-one back/ADV then

try yourself with an online POS tagger, e.g., <u>https://parts-of-speech.info/</u>

POS tagging algorithms

- We will introduce classic and state-of-the-art sequence labeling approaches
 - Hidden Markov Model (HMM) + Viterbi, generative
 - RNNs + Conditional Random Field (CRF), discriminative

Most frequent class baseline

- A baseline: assign each word its most probable (frequent) tag
- Always compare a classifier against a baseline at least as good as the most frequent class baseline
- The WSJ training corpus and test on sections 22-24 of the same corpus the most-frequent-tag baseline achieves an accuracy of 92.34%.
- 97% tag accuracy achievable by most algorithms (HMMs, MEMMs, neural networks, rule-based algorithms)



Sequence labeling as text classification

$$\hat{y}_i = \operatorname*{argmax}_{y \in \mathcal{L}} s(\boldsymbol{x}, i, y)$$



Generative sequence labeling: Hidden Markov Models



Markov Chain: weather



Markov Chain: weather



- A model that assigns probabilities to sequences of random variables
 - Each variable can take a value from some finite set
- Markov assumption: only the current state matters for predicting the next state, all states before the current have no impact
- For a set of variables: q_1, q_2, \dots, q_i

$$P(q_i = a | q_1, ..., q_{i-1}) = P(q_i = a | q_{i-1})$$



Markov Chain: weather



- Given initial probabilities of [0.1, 0.7, 0.2] compute the probability for:
 - HOT WARM WARM HOT
 - HOT COLD HOT COLD

Markov chain

• Formally, a Markov chain specified by the following components

 $Q = q_1 q_2 \dots q_N$ $A = a_{11}a_{12}\ldots a_{n1}\ldots a_{nn}$ $\pi = \pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_N$

a set of N states

- a **transition probability matrix** *A*, each a_{ij} representing the probability of moving from state *i* to state *j*, s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i$
- an **initial probability distribution** over states. π_i is the probability that the Markov chain will start in state *i*. Some states *j* may have $\pi_j = 0$, meaning that they cannot be initial states. Also, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_i = 1$



Markov Chain: words



 only the current state matters for predicting the next state, all states before the current have no impact



Markov Chain: words





 only the current state matters for predicting the next state, all states before the current have no impact

Hidden Markov Models

- We use a Markov chain for computing P for a sequence of observable events
- In many cases the events we are interested in are hidden
 - e.g., we don't observe POS tags in a text





Hidden Markov Models



Markov Assumption: $P(q_i|q_1...q_{i-1}) = P(q_i|q_{i-1})$

Output Independence: $P(o_i|q_1...q_i,...,q_T,o_1,...,o_i,...,o_T) = P(o_i|q_i)$

Hidden Markov Models



Hidden Markov Models (HMMs)

a set of N states

 $A = a_{11} \dots a_{ij} \dots a_{NN}$

 $Q = q_1 q_2 \dots q_N$

 $O = o_1 o_2 \dots o_T$

- a **transition probability matrix** *A*, each a_{ij} representing the probability of moving from state *i* to state *j*, s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i$
- a sequence of *T* observations, each one drawn from a vocabulary $V = v_1, v_2, ..., v_V$
- $B = b_i(o_t)$ a sequence of observation likelihoods, also called emission probabili-
ties, each expressing the probability of an observation o_t being generated
from a state q_i
- $\pi = \pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_N$ an **initial probability distribution** over states. π_i is the probability that the Markov chain will start in state *i*. Some states *j* may have $\pi_j = 0$, meaning that they cannot be initial states. Also, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_i = 1$



Types of HMMs





Bakis = left-to-right

Ergodic = fully-connected



HMMs in language technologies

- Part-of-speech tagging (Church, 1988; Brants, 2000)
- Named entity recognition (Bikel et al., 1999) and other information extraction tasks
- Text chunking and shallow parsing (Ramshaw and Marcus, 1995)
- Word alignment of parallel text (Vogel et al., 1996)
- Acoustic models in speech recognition (emissions are continuous)
- Discourse segmentation (labeling parts of a document)

HMM parameters

 $Q = q_1 q_2 \dots q_N$ $\bullet A = a_{11} \dots a_{ij} \dots a_{NN}$ $0 = o_1 o_2 \dots o_T$ $B = b_i(o_t)$ $\pi = \pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_N$

a set of N states

- a **transition probability matrix** *A*, each a_{ij} representing the probability of moving from state *i* to state *j*, s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i$
 - a sequence of *T* observations, each one drawn from a vocabulary $V = v_1, v_2, ..., v_V$
 - a sequence of **observation likelihoods**, also called **emission probabilities**, each expressing the probability of an observation o_t being generated from a state q_i
- an **initial probability distribution** over states. π_i is the probability that the Markov chain will start in state *i*. Some states *j* may have $\pi_j = 0$, meaning that they cannot be initial states. Also, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_i = 1$



HMMs: algorithms

Forward	Problem 1 (Likelihood):	Given an HMM $\lambda = (A, B)$ and an observation se-
		quence <i>O</i> , determine the likelihood $P(O \lambda)$.
Viterbi	Problem 2 (Decoding):	Given an observation sequence O and an HMM $\lambda =$
		(A, B), discover the best hidden state sequence Q.
Forward-backward;	Problem 3 (Learning):	Given an observation sequence O and the set of states
Baum-Welch		in the HMM, learn the HMM parameters A and B.

Forward	Problem 1 (Likelihood):	Given an HMM $\lambda = (A, B)$ and an observation sequence <i>O</i> , determine the likelihood $P(O \lambda)$.
Viterbi	Problem 2 (Decoding):	Given an observation sequence <i>O</i> and an HMM $\lambda =$
		(A, B), discover the best hidden state sequence Q .
Forward-backward;	Problem 3 (Learning):	Given an observation sequence O and the set of states
Baum-Welch		in the HMM, learn the HMM parameters A and B.



$$\hat{t}_1^n = \operatorname*{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(t_1^n \mid w_1^n)$$





$$egin{array}{l} F_1^n = rgmax P(t_1^n \mid w_1^n) \ & = rgmax rac{t_1^n}{t_1^n} \ rac{P(w_1^n \mid t_1^n)P(t_1^n)}{P(w_1^n)} \end{array}$$



$$\hat{t}_1^n = \operatorname{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(t_1^n \mid w_1^n)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{t_1^n} \frac{P(w_1^n \mid t_1^n) P(t_1^n)}{P(w_1^n)}$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(w_1^n \mid t_1^n) P(t_1^n)$$

Decoding: Given as input an HMM $\lambda = (A, B)$ and sequence of observations $O = o_1, o_2, \dots, o_n$, find the most probable sequence of states $Q = q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \operatorname*{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(t_1^n \mid w_1^n)$$
$$= \operatorname*{argmax}_{t_1^n} \frac{P(w_1^n \mid t_1^n) P(t_1^n)}{P(w_1^n)}$$
$$= \operatorname*{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(w_1^n \mid t_1^n) P(t_1^n)$$

simplifying assumptions:

$$\hat{t}_{1}^{n} = \operatorname{argmax}_{t_{1}^{n}} P(t_{1}^{n} \mid w_{1}^{n})$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{t_{1}^{n}} \frac{P(w_{1}^{n} \mid t_{1}^{n})P(t_{1}^{n})}{P(w_{1}^{n})}$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_{t_{1}^{n}} P(w_{1}^{n} \mid t_{1}^{n})P(t_{1}^{n})$$
simplifying assumptions:
$$P(w_{1}^{n} \mid t_{1}^{n}) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(w_{i} \mid t_{i})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{t}_1^n &= \operatorname*{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(t_1^n \mid w_1^n) \\ &= \operatorname*{argmax}_{t_1^n} \frac{P(w_1^n \mid t_1^n) P(t_1^n)}{P(w_1^n)} \\ &= \operatorname*{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(w_1^n \mid t_1^n) P(t_1^n) \\ &= \operatorname*{argmax}_{t_1^n} P(w_1 \mid t_1) P(t_1^n) \\ \underbrace{P(w_1^n \mid t_1^n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n P(w_i \mid t_i)} P(t_1^n) \approx \prod_{i=1}^n P(t_i \mid t_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(t_1^n \mid w_1^n) \approx \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\operatorname{emission}, B \operatorname{transition}, A}{P(w_i \mid t_i)} P(t_i \mid t_{i-1})$$

Decoding: Given as input an HMM $\lambda = (A, B)$ and sequence of observations $O = o_1, o_2, \dots, o_n$, find the most probable sequence of states $Q = q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$

$$\hat{t}_1^n = \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(t_1^n \mid w_1^n) \approx \underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\operatorname{emission}, B \operatorname{transition}, A}{P(w_i \mid t_i)} P(t_i \mid t_{i-1})$$

How many possible choices?



Part of speech tagging example

		suspect	the	present	forecast	is	pessimistic	
noun	•	•	•	•	•	•		
adj.		•		•	•		•	
adv.			· · · · · ·	•				
verb		•		•	•	•		
num.	•							
det.			•					
punc.								•

With this very simple tag set, $7^8 = 5.7$ million labelings. (Even restricting to the possibilities above, 288 labelings.)





 $v_{t-1}(i)$ the **previous Viterbi path probability** from the previous time step a_{ij} the **transition probability** from previous state q_i to current state q_j $b_j(o_t)$ the **state observation likelihood** of the observation symbol o_t given the current state j



$$v_t(j) = \max_{i=1}^N v_{t-1}(i)a_{ij}b_j(o_t)$$

 $v_{t-1}(i)$ the previous Viterbi path probability from the previous time step a_{ij} the transition probability from previous state q_i to current state q_j $b_j(o_t)$ the state observation likelihood of the observation symbol o_t given the current state j



$$v_t(j) = \max_{i=1}^N v_{t-1}(i) a_{ij} b_j(o_t)$$

previous
Viterbi path
probability

Yulia Tsvetkov

 $v_{t-1}(i)$ the previous Viterbi path probability from the previous time step a_{ij} the transition probability from previous state q_i to current state q_j $b_j(o_t)$ the state observation likelihood of the observation symbol o_t given the current state j



 $v_t(j) = \max_{i=1}^{N} v_{t-1}(i) a_{ij} b_j(o_t)$ previous
Viterbi path
probability

 $v_{t-1}(i)$ the previous Viterbi path probability from the previous time step a_{ij} the transition probability from previous state q_i to current state q_j $b_j(o_t)$ the state observation likelihood of the observation symbol o_t given the current state j



 $v_t(j) = \max_{i=1}^{N} v_{t-1}(i) a_{ij} b_j(o_t)$ state observation likelihood previous Viterbi path probability

function VITERBI(*observations* of len *T*,*state-graph* of len *N*) returns *best-path*, *path-prob*

```
create a path probability matrix viterbi[N,T]
for each state s from 1 to N do
      viterbi[s,1] \leftarrow \pi_s * b_s(o_1)
      backpointer[s,1]\leftarrow 0
for each time step t from 2 to T do
   for each state s from 1 to N do
      viterbi[s,t] \leftarrow \max_{a_{s',s}}^{N} viterbi[s',t-1] * a_{s',s} * b_s(o_t)
      backpointer[s,t] \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}^{N} viterbi[s',t-1] * a_{s',s} * b_{s}(o_{t})
                                  s'-1
bestpathprob \leftarrow \max^{N} viterbi[s, T]
bestpathpointer \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}^{N} viterbi[s, T]
bestpath \leftarrow the path starting at state bestpathpointer, that follows backpointer[] to states back in time
return bestpath, bestpathprob
```



function VITERBI(*observations* of len *T*,*state-graph* of len *N*) returns *best-path*, *path-prob*





Yulia Tsvetkov

function VITERBI(*observations* of len *T*,*state-graph* of len *N*) returns *best-path*, *path-prob*





Yulia Tsvetkov

function VITERBI(*observations* of len *T*,*state-graph* of len *N*) returns *best-path*, *path-prob*



function VITERBI(*observations* of len *T*,*state-graph* of len *N*) returns *best-path*, *path-prob*



DT

RB

DT

RB

DT

RB

The Viterbi algorithm

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<s> NNP MD VB JJ NN RB</s>	NNP 0.2767 0.3777 0.0008 0.0322 0.0366 0.0096 0.0068	MD 0.0006 0.0110 0.0002 0.0005 0.0004 0.0176 0.0102	VB 0.0031 0.0009 0.7968 0.0050 0.0001 0.0014 0.1011	JJ 0.0453 0.0084 0.0005 0.0837 0.0733 0.0086 0.1012	NN 0.0449 0.0584 0.0008 0.0615 0.4509 0.1216 0.0120	RB 0.0510 0.0090 0.1698 0.0514 0.0036 0.0177 0.0728	DT 0.2026 0.0025 0.0041 0.2231 0.0036 0.0068 0.0479	NN JJ VB MD Janet	NN JJ VB MD Will	NN JJ VB MD Dack	NN JJ VB MD NNP the	NN JJ VB MD bill
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DT	0.1147	0.0021	0.0002	0.2157	0.4744	0.0102	0.0017	-	(i) =	N	(i)	h(a)
MD 0 0.308431 0 0 0 VB 0 0.000028 0.000672 0 0.000028 JJ 0 0 0.000233 0 0.002337 RB 0 0 0.010446 0 0 DT 0 0 0.506099 0 0	NN	IP	0.000032	0	0	0.00	00048 0)	$-v_t$	(J) =	$\lim_{i=1}^{max v_{t-1}}$	$-1(I)a_{ij}I$	$J_j(O_t$
VB 0 0.000028 0.000672 0 0.000028 JJ 0 0 0.000340 0 0 NN 0 0.000200 0.000223 0 0.002337 RB 0 0 0.010446 0 0 DT 0 0 0.000209 0.000237 B	MI)	0	0.308431	10	0	0				,		/
JJ 0 0 0.000340 0 0 NN 0 0.000200 0.000223 0 0.002337 RB 0 0 0.010446 0 0 DT 0 0 0 0 0.0506099 0	VB	\$	0	0.000028	3 0.0006	572 0	0	.000028					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	JJ	Ţ	0	0	0.0003	340 0	0	0000007	-				
DT = 0 = 0 = 0.010440 = 0 = 0.010440 = 0 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010440 = 0.010400 = 0.010400 = 0.010400 = 0.010400 = 0.0104000000 = 0.010400000000	NN		0	0.000200	0.0002	146 0	0	.002337	Г)			
			0	0	0.0104	140 0	0		E	5			

Yulia Tsvetkov

55

DT

RB

DT

RB

Undergrad NLP 2022





Undergrad NLP 2022

function VITERBI(*observations* of len *T*,*state-graph* of len *N*) returns *best-path*, *path-prob*

```
create a path probability matrix viterbi[N,T]
for each state s from 1 to N do
      viterbi[s,1] \leftarrow \pi_s * b_s(o_1)
      backpointer[s,1]\leftarrow 0
                                                                 Computational complexity in N and T?
for each time step t from 2 to T do
   for each state s from 1 to N do
      viterbi[s,t] \leftarrow \max_{as',s}^{N} viterbi[s',t-1] * a_{s',s} * b_s(o_t)
      backpointer[s,t] \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}^{N} viterbi[s',t-1] * a_{s',s} * b_{s}(o_{t})
                                2-1
bestpathprob \leftarrow \max_{s=1}^{N} viterbi[s, T]
bestpathpointer \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}^{N} viterbi[s, T]
bestpath \leftarrow the path starting at state bestpathpointer, that follows backpointer[] to states back in time
return bestpath, bestpathprob
```

Beam search





Undergrad NLP 2022 From J&M

HMMs: algorithms

Forward	Problem 1 (Likelihood):	Given an HMM $\lambda = (A, B)$ and an observation sequence <i>O</i> , determine the likelihood $P(O \lambda)$.
Viterbi	Problem 2 (Decoding):	Given an observation sequence <i>O</i> and an HMM $\lambda = (A, B)$, discover the best hidden state sequence <i>O</i> .
Forward-backward; Baum-Welch	Problem 3 (Learning):	Given an observation sequence O and the set of states in the HMM, learn the HMM parameters A and B .



HMMs: algorithms

Forward	Problem 1 (Likelihood):	Given an HMM $\lambda = (A, B)$ and an observation se-
		quence <i>O</i> , determine the likelihood $P(O \lambda)$.
Viterbi	Problem 2 (Decoding):	Given an observation sequence O and an HMM $\lambda =$
		(A, B), discover the best hidden state sequence Q .
Forward-backward; Baum-Welch	Problem 3 (Learning):	Given an observation sequence <i>O</i> and the set of states in the HMM, learn the HMM parameters <i>A</i> and <i>B</i> .

The Forward algorithm



Viterbi

- n-best decoding
- relationship to sequence alignment

Citation	Field
Viterbi (1967)	information theory
Vintsyuk (1968)	speech processing
Needleman and Wunsch (1970)	molecular biology
Sakoe and Chiba (1971)	speech processing
Sankoff (1972)	molecular biology
Reichert et al. (1973)	molecular biology
Wagner and Fischer (1974)	computer science